

### CHFA Capital Plan Property Assessment - Wormser Congregate

#### Property Identification

Wormser Congregate  
STAMFORD, CT

Total Current Unit Count: 40  
Census Tract: 207.00  
Connecticut Congressional District: 0

CHFA Property Identification #: 90116D  
Current State Sponsored Housing Program: SH Congregate

This is a single, stand-alone property. As there are no other adjacent properties under common ownership, there are no opportunities for consolidation to achieve greater efficiencies of scale.

#### Property Description

Tenancy Type: Congregate  
Structure Type: Low rise (1-4 floors)  
Number of buildings: 1  
Maximum # of Stories: 2  
Elevator? Yes

Summary property description:

The Wormser Congregate property has 40 one-bedroom units. Generally, the property consists of relatively spacious units. It features amenities such as a fitness room, a patio area with benches, a community room, and meal services.

#### Current Operating & Capital Needs Status

Aggregate Capital Needs  
(without market enhancements): \$ 1,215,899  
  
Capital Needs per Unit: \$ 30,397  
  
Projected Year 1 (2014) Operating Income: \$ (95,196)

Current operations at the property are projected to generate negative \$95,200 in net operating income (NOI, or revenue after operating expenses) in Year 1 (2014). With incomes and expenses trending at 2% and 3% respectively, which is a standard affordable housing industry convention, the NOI figure decreases annually and this shortfall continues to grow. As a result, the property is not sustainable and cannot adequately address its future basic capital needs, projected to be approximately \$1.22 million (\$30,397 per unit) over the next 20 years.

**Revenue Adjustments Prior to a Recapitalization Transaction**

Wormser Congregate, continued

Current average income relative to  
the Area Median Income (AMI): 28%

	Current Base Rent	Affordability (% AMI)
Studio/efficiency unit:		
One-bedroom unit:	591	26%
Two-bedroom unit:		
Three-bedroom unit:		
Four-bedroom unit:		
Five-bedroom unit:		
Six-bedroom unit:		

	Proposed Base Rent	Affordability (% AMI)
Studio/efficiency unit:		
One-bedroom unit:	591	26%
Two-bedroom unit:		
Three-bedroom unit:		
Four-bedroom unit:		
Five-bedroom unit:		
Six-bedroom unit:		

The Capital Plan is recommending that the property continue operating as it is currently structured, without a consolidated recapitalization transaction. Consequently, the Capital Plan does not recommend a specific revenue adjustment. The owner should note, however, that the rental income is not projected to meet the property's expenses over the next 20 years and may struggle to cover future capital needs. The owner may want to consider an adjustment in the property's base rent in order to avoid future budget problems.

Number of current households that would be  
impacted by the proposed increase in Base Rent: n/a

Rental operating subsidy necessary in 2014 to  
generate revenue equal to raising the base rent  
as proposed: n/a

Additional rental assistance payments subsidy  
over a 20 year period due to revised base rent: n/a

**Revenue Adjustments Concurrent with a Recapitalization Transaction**

Wormser Congregate, continued

Household Income Level	Current Income Mix	Proposed Income Mix
0-25% of AMI	34	34
25-50% of AMI	3	3
50% of AMI or greater	3	3
Total number of units	40	40

Since the Capital Plan is recommending that the property continue operating as it is currently structured, without a consolidated recapitalization transaction, the analysis does not assume any changes to the property's income mix.

	Pre-Trans. Base Rent	Post-Trans. Base Rent
Studio/efficiency unit:		
One-bedroom unit:	591	591
Two-bedroom unit:		
Three-bedroom unit:		
Four-bedroom unit:		
Five-bedroom unit:		
Six-bedroom unit:		

Rental operating subsidy in the transaction year which would be necessary to generate additional revenue equal to that generated by income mixing: n/a

Additional rental operating subsidy necessary to sustain Rental Assistance Payments based on the adjusted base rent: n/a

Property used for market reference: Edward Czeszik Homes

	Capital Surplus or (Gap)	Total (Gap) Funded by Subsidy inc. Capital & Operating
Current Scenario (excluding transaction costs):	(1,215,899)	(4,084,976)
Recoverable Grant Scenario:	(1,968,631)	(5,164,472)
CHFA/FHA Scenario:	(1,528,821)	(5,117,839)
4% LIHTC Scenario:	(1,335,529)	(4,823,842)
9% LIHTC Scenario:	(429,124)	(3,916,139)

The Capital Plan analysis considers five scenarios and the prospect under each scenario to address the property's capital and operational needs. Each scenario's capacity to address the property's capital needs is listed to the left, as represented by the Replacement Reserve (RM&R) balance at the end of 20 years. Also at left is the total gap, including both operating subsidy needs and capital subsidy needs, over the 20 year study period.

- The first scenario, the "Current Scenario" assumes the property continues operating as it currently is operated - no material change in the base rent and no implementation of income mixing strategies to shift the property's revenue picture. Consequently, there is no adverse impact on residents or on the opportunity to serve the income demographic currently holding tenancies. The current scenario uses the baseline capital needs as the anticipated capital investment for purposes of identifying the surplus or gap. However, the current scenario - unlike the other four scenarios - does not include any allowance for soft costs (architecture or design, relocation, developer overhead, etc.) or for general contractor overhead and profit (as it is assumed each trade would come to the site independently, without the need for overarching coordination).

- The second scenario, the "Recoverable Grant Scenario" assumes any revenue adjustments described above (i.e., if the analysis suggested an increase in base rent and/or introduction of a mixed-income framework, or the equivalent revenue from federal or state operating subsidy). The Recoverable Grant Scenario envisions a streamlined allocation of funds from the State to the property, implemented with standardized documents and minimal legal or due diligence transaction costs. The Recoverable Grant would be repaid to the State to the extent possible from cash flow. The Recoverable Grant Scenario is most frequently selected when the transaction is too small to warrant the transaction costs associated with alternative financing or if the market is too weak to support debt or equity leverage.

- The three remaining scenarios - "CHFA/FHA," "4% LIHTC" and "9% LIHTC" correspond to three different leverage transaction structures. Each scenario includes transaction costs appropriate to the nature of the transaction. (For example, legal fees in the two LIHTC scenarios are higher than in the CHFA/FHA scenario.) Typically, the CHFA/FHA scenario would generate the least amount of funds for capital improvements and the 9% LIHTC scenario would generate the greatest amount, with the 4% LIHTC scenario falling in between. The CHFA/FHA scenario is a debt-only scenario, using either CHFA or FHA-insured financing. The two LIHTC scenarios assume both debt and a syndication of low income housing tax credits. The 4% tax credits rely on the use of tax exempt bond financing and are generally available when needed. (The analysis assumes that the tax exempt bonds will be used for construction funding in order to generate the tax credits, but may not remain outstanding at the full amount after permanent debt conversion.) The 9% tax credits are a competitive and scarce resource so cannot be assumed to be available for all properties.

**Recommended Transaction and Transaction Assumptions**

Wormser Congregate, continued

Recommended Transaction Option:	Current	The Capital Plan is recommending that the property continue operating as it is currently structured (i.e., the current scenario described above), as this approach requires the least amount of subsidy from the State over time as compared to the other capital leverage transactions. In the absence of a consolidated recapitalization transaction, however, the property will need additional resources on a continuing basis.
Recommended Transaction Year	n/a	The Capital Plan recommends that the property receive annual grants as needed to cover the gap between the property's capital need budget and the property's ability to pay those costs. These grants, identified as "Pre-Transaction Subsidy" (since no consolidated transaction is proposed), would total \$1,215,899 over the course of the next 20 years.
Replacement Reserve Deposit PUPY:	-	
Debt Service Coverage in Transaction Year:	-	
Debt Service Coverage in Transaction Year 15:	-	At this time, the "Current Scenario" is the only approach which reasonably covers the property's capital needs given the low rental revenue and the current programmatic assumptions. However, it is neither a sustainable nor an efficient strategy as it requires the State to have a much more active role in supervising both capital and operating budgets. This level of oversight would correspond to a higher degree of accountability by the owner to the State.
Pre-Transaction Capital Subsidy Needed:	1,215,899	
Transaction Capital Subsidy Needed:	-	The "Current Scenario" would also require the property to self-manage improvements as they become necessary - the budget does not anticipate the availability of a general contractor. In other words, the property management staff would bring in the specific tradespeople as necessary to repair or replace the components as they fail. Since this is consistent with current property management practices, this burden should be manageable for the owner.

**Summary of Recommended Transaction**

This property does not have a stable operating revenue and expense picture and is at-risk of experiencing long term structural operating deficits unless it is able to access significant operating subsidy. Under the Current scenario, the property yields negative \$95,196 in NOI in the current year, which includes \$0 per unit per year in replacement reserve deposits, trending to negative \$184,548 fifteen years thereafter. The transaction results in a capital subsidy need of \$1,215,000 and \$2,869,000 in operating deficit subsidy, all of which would need to be covered by State capital subsidy. Given that the "Current Scenario" assumes a heavy dependence on state subsidy on an ongoing annual basis, any cash flow should presumably be escrowed to offset future subsidy need or to repay the State for prior subsidy payments.

**Summary of Capital Needs & State Subsidy Needs**

Wormser Congregate, continued

Immediate Emergency Capital Needs: 0  
 Current Deferred Capital Needs: 29,276  
 Current Routine Capital Needs: 85,673

The chart below indicates the year-by-year capital investment needs at the property as projected by On-Site Insight. One should note, however, that On-Site Insight used a state-wide cost basis generated from the RS Means database for capital needs. Some high-cost communities can experience a premium of 10%-15% in excess of the State-wide figures. The chart also indicates the timing of State capital and operating subsidy needs assuming the transaction scenario described above.

Year	Annual Capital Needs (per CNA)	Capital Subsidy		Operating Subsidy		
		Pre-Transaction Capital Subsidy Needs	Transaction Capital Subsidy Needs	Operating Deficit Subsidy Needs	Base Rent Operating Subsidy Needs	Income Mixing Operating Subsidy Needs
2013	114,949	114,949	-	-	-	-
2014	25,452	25,452	-	95,196	-	-
2015	118,306	118,306	-	100,152	-	-
2016	47,468	47,468	-	105,299	-	-
2017	94,914	94,914	-	110,642	-	-
2018	101,243	101,243	-	116,190	-	-
2019	69,524	69,524	-	121,949	-	-
2020	44,016	44,016	-	127,927	-	-
2021	28,476	28,476	-	134,129	-	-
2022	18,230	18,230	-	140,566	-	-

Year	Annual Capital Needs (per CNA)	Capital Subsidy		Operating Subsidy		
		Pre-Transaction Capital Subsidy Needs	Transaction Capital Subsidy Needs	Operating Deficit Subsidy Needs	Base Rent Operating Subsidy Needs	Income Mixing Operating Subsidy Needs
2023	23,601	23,601	-	147,243	-	-
2024	149,251	149,251	-	154,171	-	-
2025	21,132	21,132	-	161,356	-	-
2026	33,638	33,638	-	168,808	-	-
2027	46,887	46,887	-	176,535	-	-
2028	146,583	146,583	-	184,548	-	-
2029	22,421	22,421	-	192,856	-	-
2030	30,283	30,283	-	201,468	-	-
2031	55,026	55,026	-	210,395	-	-
2032	24,500	24,500	-	219,648	-	-

**Scenario Pro Formas**

Wormser Congregate, continued

**Income and Expense Analysis**

	CURRENT		RECOVERABLE GRANT		CHFA/FHA		4% LIHTC		9% LIHTC	
	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit
<b>2023 ANNUAL INCOME</b>										
Gross Potential Rent	263,735	6,593.37	510,383	12,759.58	510,383	12,760	510,383	12,760	510,383	12,760
Vacancy/Loss	(22,064)	(551.59)	(25,672)	(641.80)	(25,672)	(642)	(35,727)	(893)	(35,727)	(893)
Other Income	23,121	578.02	23,121	578.02	23,121	578	23,121	578	23,121	578
<b>Effective Gross Income</b>	<b>264,792</b>	<b>6,619.79</b>	<b>507,832</b>	<b>12,695.80</b>	<b>507,832</b>	<b>12,696</b>	<b>497,777</b>	<b>12,444</b>	<b>497,777</b>	<b>12,444</b>
<b>2023 ANNUAL EXPENSES</b>										
Operating Expenses	412,035	10,301	423,621	10,591	410,752	10,269	410,249	10,256	410,249	10,256
Replacement Reserve Deposits	-	-	-	-	19,926	498	19,926	498	19,926	498
<b>Total Operating Expenses</b>	<b>412,035</b>	<b>10,301</b>	<b>423,621</b>	<b>10,591</b>	<b>430,678</b>	<b>10,767</b>	<b>430,176</b>	<b>10,754</b>	<b>430,176</b>	<b>10,754</b>
<b>2023 NET OPERATING INCOME</b>	<b>(147,243)</b>	<b>(3,681)</b>	<b>84,211</b>	<b>2,105</b>	<b>77,153</b>	<b>1,929</b>	<b>67,601</b>	<b>1,690</b>	<b>67,601</b>	<b>1,690</b>
Debt Service	-	-	-	-	41,244	1,031	30,851	771	30,845	771
<b>2023 CASH FLOW</b>	<b>(147,243)</b>	<b>(3,681)</b>	<b>84,211</b>	<b>2,105</b>	<b>35,909</b>	<b>898</b>	<b>36,751</b>	<b>919</b>	<b>36,757</b>	<b>919</b>

**Sources and Uses Analysis**

	CURRENT		RECOVERABLE GRANT		CHFA/FHA		4% LIHTC		9% LIHTC	
	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit
<b>SOURCES</b>										
Hard Debt										
Commercial Debt 1	-	-	-	-	717,700	17,942	427,211	10,680	536,739	13,418
Commercial Debt 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tax-Exempt Bond	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Soft Debt										
Seller Financing/Take Back Note	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,200,000	30,000	1,200,000	30,000
State	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Local	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other										
From Operations	-	-	4,139	103	18,139	453	18,139	453	18,139	453
Cash Escrows	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Deferred Developer Fee	-	-	-	-	115,679	2,892	122,927	3,073	122,478	3,062
Equity										
GP Contribution	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIHTC	-	-	-	-	-	-	849,539	21,238	1,647,952	41,199
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Total Sources of Funds</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>4,139</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>851,518</b>	<b>21,288</b>	<b>2,617,817</b>	<b>65,445</b>	<b>3,525,308</b>	<b>88,133</b>
<b>USES</b>										
Acquisition Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,200,000	30,000	1,200,000	30,000
Construction Costs	-	-	1,543,767	38,594	1,543,767	38,594	1,560,874	39,022	1,560,874	39,022
Soft Costs - Design & Construction	-	-	177,029	4,426	174,595	4,365	178,654	4,466	178,654	4,466
Soft Costs - Due Diligence	-	-	11,353	284	20,853	521	24,313	608	24,313	608
Soft Costs - Transaction Costs	-	-	24,639	616	104,639	2,616	224,532	5,613	224,532	5,613
Soft Costs - Financing	-	-	49,128	1,228	162,249	4,056	195,790	4,895	195,882	4,897
Soft Costs - Other	-	-	23,000	575	26,000	650	26,000	650	26,000	650
Soft Cost Contingency	-	-	14,257	356	24,417	610	28,649	716	28,269	707
Reserves	-	-	-	-	34,622	866	207,216	5,180	209,713	5,243
Developer Fee	-	-	129,598	3,240	289,198	7,230	307,318	7,683	306,195	7,655
<b>Total Uses of Funds</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1,972,770</b>	<b>49,319</b>	<b>2,380,339</b>	<b>59,508</b>	<b>3,953,346</b>	<b>98,834</b>	<b>3,954,432</b>	<b>98,861</b>
<b>TRANSACTION SURPLUS (GAP)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(1,968,631)</b>	<b>(49,216)</b>	<b>(1,528,821)</b>	<b>(38,221)</b>	<b>(1,335,529)</b>	<b>(33,388)</b>	<b>(429,124)</b>	<b>(10,728)</b>

**Scenario Pro Formas (continued)**

Wormser Congregate, continued

**Coverage of Capital Needs Analysis**

	CURRENT		RECOVERABLE GRANT		CHFA/FHA		4% LIHTC		9% LIHTC	
	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit
<b>FUNDS</b>										
Transaction Rehab	-	-	1,191,399	29,785	1,191,399	29,785	1,191,399	29,785	1,191,399	29,785
Capital Needs Funded Using Subsidy	1,215,899	30,397	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Existing Replacement Reserve Balance	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Replacement Reserves	-	-	-	-	387,397	9,685	387,397	9,685	387,397	9,685
<b>Total Funds</b>	<b>1,215,899</b>	<b>30,397</b>	<b>1,191,399</b>	<b>29,785</b>	<b>1,578,796</b>	<b>39,470</b>	<b>1,578,796</b>	<b>39,470</b>	<b>1,578,796</b>	<b>39,470</b>
<b>USES</b>										
Estimated Capital Needs	1,215,899	30,397	1,215,899	30,397	1,215,899	30,397	1,215,899	30,397	1,215,899	30,397
Enhancements	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Total Uses</b>	<b>1,215,899</b>	<b>30,397</b>	<b>1,215,899</b>	<b>30,397</b>	<b>1,215,899</b>	<b>30,397</b>	<b>1,215,899</b>	<b>30,397</b>	<b>1,215,899</b>	<b>30,397</b>
<b>YEAR 20 REPLACEMENT RESERVE BALANCE</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(24,500)</b>	<b>(612)</b>	<b>362,898</b>	<b>9,072</b>	<b>362,898</b>	<b>9,072</b>	<b>362,898</b>	<b>9,072</b>

**Subsidy Analysis**

	CURRENT		RECOVERABLE GRANT		CHFA/FHA		4% LIHTC		9% LIHTC	
	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit	Total	Per Unit
<b>OPERATING SUBSIDY</b>										
Base Rent Operating Subsidy Needed	n/a	n/a	3,827,041	95,676	3,827,041	95,676	3,827,041	95,676	3,827,041	95,676
Operating Deficit Subsidy Needed	2,869,077	71,727	-	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
Income Mixing Operating Subsidy Needed	n/a	n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Total Operating Subsidy</b>	<b>2,869,077</b>	<b>71,727</b>	<b>3,827,041</b>	<b>95,676</b>	<b>3,827,041</b>	<b>95,676</b>	<b>3,827,041</b>	<b>95,676</b>	<b>3,827,041</b>	<b>95,676</b>
<b>CAPITAL SUBSIDY</b>										
Pre-Transaction Capital Subsidy Needed	1,215,899	30,397	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Recoverable Cash Flow	n/a	n/a	(631,200)	(15,780)	(238,024)	(5,951)	(338,729)	(8,468)	(340,026)	(8,501)
Transaction Capital Subsidy Needed	n/a	n/a	1,968,631	49,216	1,528,821	38,221	1,335,529	33,388	429,124	10,728
<b>Total Capital Subsidy</b>	<b>1,215,899</b>	<b>30,397</b>	<b>1,337,430</b>	<b>33,436</b>	<b>1,290,798</b>	<b>32,270</b>	<b>996,800</b>	<b>24,920</b>	<b>89,098</b>	<b>2,227</b>
<b>TOTAL SUBSIDY NEEDED</b>	<b>4,084,976</b>	<b>102,124</b>	<b>5,164,472</b>	<b>129,112</b>	<b>5,117,839</b>	<b>127,946</b>	<b>4,823,842</b>	<b>120,596</b>	<b>3,916,139</b>	<b>97,903</b>